



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,542	09/12/2003	Curtis Satoru Ikehara		6416

7590

11/03/2006

Curtis Ikehara
99-603 Kaulainahee Place
Aiea, HI 96701

EXAMINER

AU, SCOTT D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/660,542

Applicant(s)

IKEHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Scott Au

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27/06.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's response to an Amendment, which is filed February 27, 2006.

An amendment to the claims 1-13 have been entered and made of record in the Application of Ikehara et al. for an "Input device to continuously detect biometrics" filed September 12, 2003.

Claims 1-13 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinsella (US# 6,914,517) in view of Kharon et al. (US# 6,487,662) and further in view of Mambakkam et al. (US# 2002/0073340).

Referring to claims 1,2,3,5,7 and 11, Kinsella discloses an input device (603) (i.e. trackball pointing device) to continuously detect biometrics for facilitating continuous authentication of the user's identification based on input from sensors attached to the device comprising (col. 3 lines 15-20):

a computer mouse (603) (i.e. trackball pointing device), for providing a base with sensors (col. 18 lines 23-25) at different buttons 1,2,3;

an authentication computer (612) (i.e. computer verification engine), for receiving and analyzing data from the sensor electronics for registration and continuous authentication, electrically connected to said sensor electronics module (col. 19 lines 11-57);

a registration module (614) (i.e. interface controller), for initially linking the user's identity to the user's biometric characteristics, totally embedded to said authentication computer (612) (i.e. computer verification engine) (col. 19 lines 8-24; see Figure 14);

a biometric characteristics extractor, for extracting a set of biometric characteristics from the digitized signal (col. 19 lines 49-57);

a software identity database, for linking the user identity to the user's biometric characteristics in the database (col. 19 lines 49-57; see Figure 14);

a continuous authentication module, for continuously verifying that the identity of a user is authorized, algorithmically connected to said registration module, and totally embedded to said authentication computer (612) (i.e. computer verification engine) (col. 3 lines 15-20 and col. 20 lines 1-10);

a biometrics correlation unit, for matching a new set of biometric characteristics with the biometric characteristics in the identity database (col. 19 lines 55-67); and an unauthorized user protocol, for changing the user's computer access (col. 11 lines 8-21 and col. 20 lines 15-67).

However, Kinsella did not explicitly disclose an electrical sensor electronics module, for conditioning the analog signal so that it can be converted into a digital signal, electrically connected to said computer mouse and that sensors indicate different pressures applied to the base by a user.

In the same field of endeavor of security system, Kharon et al. disclose an electrical sensor electronics module, for conditioning the analog signal so that it can be converted into a digital signal, electrically connected to said computer mouse (col. 8 lines 48-65; see Figure 4).

One ordinary skill in the art understands that an electrical sensor electronics module, for conditioning the analog signal so that it can be converted into a digital signal, electrically connected to said computer mouse of Kharon et al. is desirable in the security system of Kinsella because Kinsella discloses the biometric data is used as an input to a computer mouse for authorizing to use a computer system (col. 4 lines 40-64) and Kharon et al. suggest a A/D converter converting the fingerprint input and verifying the data through a microcontroller 150 (col. 8 lines 48-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include an electrical sensor electronics module, for conditioning the analog signal so that it can be converted into a digital signal, electrically connected to said

Art Unit: 2612

computer mouse of Kharon et al. in the security computer system of Kinsella with the motivation for doing so would allow the biometric data to convert into digital data in order to compare.

However, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. did not explicitly disclose sensors indicate different pressures applied to the base by a user.

In the same field of endeavor of authentication system, Mambakkam et al. disclose a comparison of different biometric pressures authenticating the computer system (paragraphs 12 and 52).

One ordinary skill in the art understands that a comparison of different biometric pressures authenticating the computer system of Mambakkam et al. is desirable in the authentication system of Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. because Kinsella, Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. teach the used of biometric for authenticating a computer system, and Mambakkam et al. teach further disclose the comparison of different biometric pressures at a certain threshold order to authenticate the computer system.

Referring to claim 4, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics in accordance with claim 1, Kinsella discloses wherein said means for receiving and analyzing data from the sensor electronics for registration and continuous authentication comprises an authentication computer (col. 12 lines 8-19; see Figure 5).

Referring to claim 6, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics in accordance with claim 1, Kinsella discloses wherein said means for extracting a set of biometric characteristics from the digitized signal comprises a biometric characteristics extractor (col. 19 lines 49-57).

Referring to claim 8, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics in accordance with claim 1, Kinsella discloses wherein said means for continuously verifying that the identity of a user is authorized comprises a continuous authentication module (col. 20 lines 1-10).

Referring to claim 9, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics in accordance with claim 1, Kinsella discloses wherein said means for matching a new set of biometric characteristics with the biometric characteristics in the identity database comprises a biometrics correlation unit (col. 19 lines 15-20).

Referring to claim 10, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics in accordance with claim 1, Kinsella discloses wherein said means for changing the user's computer access comprises an unauthorized user protocol (col. 11 lines 15-35 and col. 19 lines 25-35).

Referring to claim 12, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics as recited in claim 11,

Art Unit: 2612

Kinsella discloses further comprising: a task computer, for providing the computer user access to a task, electrically connected to said authentication computer (col. 12 lines 8-20).

Referring to claim 13, Kinsella in view of Kharon et al. and Mambakkam et al. disclose the input device to continuously detect biometrics as claims 1 and 11, claim 13 is equivalent to that of claims 1 and 11 addressed above, incorporated herein. Therefore, claim 13 is rejected for same reasons given with respected to claims 1 and 11.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications form the examiner should be directed to Scott Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30AM – 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached at (571) 272-2981. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)-272-1817.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3050.

Scott Au

SA
10/14/06


JEFFERY HOFSSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500